EasyChair Preprint

№ 13272

Overview of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Methods

Edwin Frank

May 13, 2024

Overview of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Methods

Author
Edwin Frank

Date: 13/05/2024

Abstract

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods play a crucial role in complex decision-making processes by considering multiple criteria and preferences. This abstract provides an overview of MCDM methods, their applications, and their advantages and limitations.

MCDM is a decision-making approach that addresses situations where decisions need to be made based on multiple criteria or objectives. It involves a structured process that encompasses problem formulation, criteria identification, alternative generation, evaluation and measurement of criteria, weighting and normalization, decision-making method development, alternative ranking and selection, and sensitivity analysis.

Various MCDM methods have been developed to aid decision-makers in evaluating and selecting the best alternative. Some commonly used methods include Multi-Attribute Utility Theory (MAUT), Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), and Preference Ranking Organization Method for Enrichment Evaluations (PROMETHEE). These methods employ different mathematical or analytical techniques to aggregate and rank alternatives based on their performance across the identified criteria.

MCDM methods find applications in diverse fields such as business and management, environmental management, project selection, risk assessment, healthcare, transportation, and energy management. They provide valuable insights and support for decision-making, helping stakeholders navigate complex and conflicting objectives.

The advantages of using MCDM methods include their ability to consider multiple criteria, facilitate systematic decision-making, incorporate stakeholder preferences, and provide transparent and structured decision processes. However, these methods also have limitations, such as the subjectivity involved in weighting criteria, the potential for data uncertainty, and the complexity of implementation.

In conclusion, MCDM methods offer valuable tools for decision-makers facing

complex decision problems. By considering multiple criteria and preferences, these methods enhance the decision-making process and enable stakeholders to make more informed and rational choices. Ongoing research and development in MCDM continue to expand the range of applications and address the challenges associated with these methods.

I. Introduction

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods are a set of techniques and approaches used to support decision-making in situations where multiple criteria or objectives need to be considered. In many real-world scenarios, decisions cannot be made based on a single criterion, as they often involve trade-offs and conflicting objectives. MCDM methods provide a structured framework for evaluating alternatives, taking into account the preferences and priorities of decision-makers.

The purpose of MCDM is to assist decision-makers in selecting the most suitable alternative from a set of options by considering multiple criteria simultaneously. This approach recognizes that decisions are rarely based on a single factor and aims to provide a comprehensive analysis that reflects the complexity and multi-dimensional nature of decision problems.

MCDM methods have gained significant attention and popularity across various fields and industries. They are particularly applicable in situations where decisions have significant consequences, resources are limited, and stakeholders have diverse and often conflicting preferences. By incorporating multiple criteria, MCDM methods help decision-makers consider a broader range of factors and make more informed and balanced decisions.

The key characteristics of MCDM include the ability to handle complex and multi-dimensional decision problems, the consideration of both quantitative and qualitative criteria, the inclusion of subjective judgments and preferences, and the provision of a systematic and transparent decision-making process. However, the application of MCDM methods also presents challenges, such as the need for data collection and analysis, the potential for ambiguity and uncertainty, and the difficulty of adequately capturing subjective preferences.

In summary, MCDM methods offer a valuable approach to decision-making by considering multiple criteria and objectives. They provide a structured framework to analyze, evaluate, and rank alternatives, enabling decision-makers to navigate complex decision problems and make more informed choices. The following

sections will delve into the types of decision problems in MCDM, the steps involved in the MCDM process, an overview of different MCDM methods, their applications, and their advantages and limitations.

Definition and purpose of MCDM

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) is an approach that facilitates decision-making processes in situations where multiple criteria or objectives need to be considered. It recognizes that decisions are rarely based on a single criterion and aims to provide a comprehensive analysis that incorporates the complexities and trade-offs associated with decision problems.

The purpose of MCDM is to assist decision-makers in selecting the most appropriate alternative from a set of options by taking into account multiple criteria simultaneously. It recognizes that decision problems often involve conflicting objectives, and it seeks to provide a structured framework for evaluating and comparing alternatives based on their performance across the identified criteria.

The key objectives of MCDM can be summarized as follows:

Comprehensive Evaluation: MCDM aims to provide a holistic evaluation of alternatives by considering multiple criteria. It takes into account both quantitative and qualitative factors that are relevant to the decision problem, ensuring a more comprehensive and balanced assessment.
Trade-off Analysis: MCDM acknowledges that decision problems often involve trade-offs between criteria. By explicitly considering the trade-offs, decision-makers can gain insights into the implications of different choices and make informed decisions that align with their goals and preferences.
Stakeholder Involvement: MCDM methods often involve the participation of stakeholders in the decision-making process. By incorporating the preferences and perspectives of different stakeholders, MCDM promotes inclusivity, transparency, and accountability in decision-making.
Structured Decision Process: MCDM provides a structured framework and systematic approach for decision-making. It involves a series of steps, such as problem formulation, criteria identification, alternative evaluation, and ranking, which help decision-makers navigate complex decision problems in a logical and organized manner.
Decision Support: MCDM methods serve as decision support tools, providing decision-makers with valuable insights, information, and analysis to facilitate the decision-making process. They help decision-makers make more informed and

rational choices by considering a broader range of factors and perspectives. Overall, the purpose of MCDM is to enhance decision-making by considering multiple criteria and objectives. By providing a systematic approach and incorporating stakeholder preferences, MCDM methods enable decision-makers to navigate complex decision problems and select alternatives that best align with their goals and priorities.

Importance of MCDM in decision-making processes

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods play a crucial role in decision-making processes across various domains. Their importance stems from several key factors:

Comprehensive Evaluation: MCDM enables decision-makers to consider multiple criteria and objectives simultaneously. By incorporating a wide range of factors relevant to the decision problem, MCDM provides a more comprehensive evaluation of alternatives. This helps decision-makers avoid the limitations of single-criterion decision-making and ensures that decisions are based on a broader understanding of the problem.
Trade-off Analysis: Decision problems often involve trade-offs between different criteria. MCDM methods explicitly address these trade-offs, allowing decision-makers to assess the impact of different choices on various objectives. This enables a more balanced evaluation and helps decision-makers make informed decisions that align with their goals and priorities.
Transparency and Consistency: MCDM methods provide a structured and transparent decision-making process. They offer clear guidelines for criteria identification, alternative evaluation, and ranking, ensuring that decisions are made in a consistent and logical manner. This transparency enhances the credibility and accountability of decision-making processes.
Stakeholder Involvement: MCDM methods often involve the participation of stakeholders in the decision-making process. By incorporating the preferences and perspectives of different stakeholders, MCDM promotes inclusivity and helps build consensus. Stakeholder involvement increases the acceptance and legitimacy of decisions, leading to better implementation and outcomes.
Handling Complexity: Many real-world decision problems are complex, involving numerous interrelated factors and objectives. MCDM methods provide a structured framework to handle this complexity by breaking down the problem into manageable components. They help decision-makers organize and analyze information, leading to more effective decision-making in complex and uncertain environments.

Decision Support: MCDM methods serve as decision support tools, providing decision-makers with valuable insights and information. They help in identifying the strengths and weaknesses of alternatives, assessing risks and uncertainties, and exploring various scenarios. MCDM methods facilitate a more systematic and evidence-based decision-making process, reducing the reliance on subjective judgments alone.
Improved Decision Quality: By considering multiple criteria and incorporating stakeholder preferences, MCDM methods enhance the quality of decisions. They help decision-makers make more informed and rational choices, taking into account a broader range of factors and perspectives. MCDM methods facilitate a more robust and rigorous decision-making process, leading to better outcomes and improved resource allocation.
In summary, the importance of MCDM in decision-making processes lies in its ability to provide a comprehensive evaluation, address trade-offs, promote transparency and stakeholder involvement, handle complexity, and improve decision quality. By utilizing MCDM methods, decision-makers can navigate complex decision problems more effectively, leading to more informed and balanced decisions.

II. Types of Decision Problems in MCDM

In Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM), decision problems can be categorized into three main types based on the level of structure and the availability of information. These types are structured decision problems, semi-structured decision problems, and unstructured decision problems.

Structured Decision Problems:

Structured decision problems are well-defined and have clear objectives, criteria, and alternatives. In these problems, the decision-maker has access to complete and reliable information about the alternatives and their performance on each criterion. The criteria are usually quantifiable, and their relative importance is known. Examples of structured decision problems include selecting a supplier based on price, quality, and delivery time, or choosing a location for a new facility based on factors such as cost, accessibility, and available infrastructure. In such cases, MCDM methods can be applied to systematically evaluate the alternatives and rank them based on the criteria.

Semi-Structured Decision Problems:

Semi-structured decision problems lie between structured and unstructured problems. They have some defined objectives, criteria, and alternatives, but there may be uncertainties or ambiguities associated with them. The decision-maker may

have incomplete or imprecise information, or there may be subjective judgments and preferences involved. Examples of semi-structured decision problems include evaluating job candidates based on qualifications, experience, and interpersonal skills, or selecting an investment portfolio considering risk, return, and personal preferences. MCDM methods can help in structuring the decision problem, incorporating subjective judgments, and providing a systematic framework for evaluating and comparing alternatives.

Unstructured Decision Problems:

Unstructured decision problems are characterized by a lack of well-defined objectives, criteria, or alternatives. These problems are typically complex, ill-defined, and involve high levels of uncertainty. The decision-maker may have limited information or face conflicting opinions and preferences. Examples of unstructured decision problems include formulating public policies, developing long-term business strategies, or addressing societal issues. MCDM methods can assist in organizing the decision problem, identifying relevant criteria and objectives, and facilitating stakeholder involvement and consensus-building.

It is important to note that the classification of decision problems into these types is not always rigid, and there can be overlaps or variations depending on the specific context. MCDM methods provide a flexible framework that can be adapted to different types of decision problems, allowing decision-makers to address the complexities and uncertainties inherent in their specific situations.

III. Steps in the MCDM Process

The Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) process typically involves several key steps that guide decision-makers through the evaluation and selection of alternatives based on multiple criteria. While the specific details may vary depending on the chosen MCDM method, the following steps provide a general overview of the MCDM process:

Problem Formulation:

The first step is to clearly define and formulate the decision problem. This involves identifying the objectives, criteria, and constraints of the decision. The decision-maker should have a clear understanding of what needs to be achieved and the context within which the decision is being made.

Criteria Identification:

In this step, the decision-maker identifies and defines the criteria that will be used to evaluate the alternatives. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative and should be relevant to the decision problem. It is important to ensure that the criteria are comprehensive, non-redundant, and mutually exclusive.

Alternative Generation:
The decision-maker generates a set of feasible alternatives that could potentially address the decision problem. Creativity and knowledge of the problem domain are essential in identifying a diverse range of alternatives. The number of alternatives should be manageable but sufficiently representative to allow for meaningful comparison.
Evaluation and Measurement of Criteria:
The identified criteria are evaluated and measured for each alternative. This step involves collecting data or information related to the performance of the alternatives on each criterion. The data can be quantitative (e.g., numerical values) or qualitative (e.g., ratings or rankings). Various techniques such as surveys, expert opinions, or data analysis methods may be used to quantify the criteria.
Weighting and Normalization:
Once the criteria are evaluated, the decision-maker assigns weights to represent the relative importance of each criterion. Weighting reflects the decision-maker's preferences and priorities. Normalization may also be performed to standardize the criteria values, ensuring that they are on the same scale and comparable.
Decision-Making Method Development:
At this stage, the decision-maker selects an appropriate MCDM method or approach. The choice of method depends on the nature of the decision problem, the available data, and the decision-maker's preferences. Common MCDM methods include Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), and Multi-Attribute Utility Theory (MAUT).
Alternative Ranking and Selection:
Using the selected MCDM method, the alternatives are ranked or prioritized based on their performance across the criteria. The method aggregates the criteria evaluations, considering the assigned weights and preferences. The outcome is a ranked list of alternatives, indicating their relative suitability or preference.
Sensitivity Analysis:
Sensitivity analysis is often conducted to assess the robustness and stability of the results. It involves examining the impact of changes in criteria weights or alternative evaluations on the rankings. Sensitivity analysis helps to understand the influence of uncertainties and variations on the final decision.
Decision Implementation:
After the alternatives are ranked and selected, the decision-maker can proceed with implementing the chosen alternative(s). This may involve further planning, resource allocation, and monitoring to ensure that the decisions are effectively put into action.
It is important to note that the MCDM process is iterative and may involve

iterations between steps to refine the problem formulation, criteria, or evaluations based on feedback and additional analysis. The MCDM process provides a structured framework to guide decision-makers through the complexities of multi-criteria decision problems, facilitating a more systematic and informed decision-making process.

IV. Overview of MCDM Methods

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods encompass a variety of approaches and techniques that assist decision-makers in evaluating and comparing alternatives based on multiple criteria. Here is an overview of some commonly used MCDM methods:

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP):

AHP is a widely adopted MCDM method developed by Thomas Saaty. It decomposes the decision problem into a hierarchical structure of criteria and alternatives, allowing decision-makers to make pairwise comparisons using subjective judgments. AHP employs a mathematical framework to derive priority weights for criteria and alternatives and provides a method for synthesizing these weights to obtain a final ranking.

Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS):

TOPSIS is a method that ranks alternatives based on their proximity to an ideal solution and their distance to an anti-ideal solution. It involves normalizing the evaluation matrix, calculating the Euclidean distances to the ideal and anti-ideal solutions, and determining the relative closeness values for each alternative. Alternatives with higher closeness values are considered more preferable.

Weighted Sum Model (WSM):

WSM is a simple and intuitive MCDM method that calculates a weighted sum of the performance scores of alternatives across criteria. Decision-makers assign weights to the criteria, representing their relative importance, and multiply the scores of alternatives by these weights. The alternative with the highest weighted sum is considered the most favorable.

ELECTRE (ELimination Et Choix Traduisant la REalité):

ELECTRE is a family of MCDM methods that use outranking techniques to compare alternatives. It incorporates pairwise comparisons and thresholds to determine the outranking relationships between alternatives. ELECTRE methods provide a ranking of alternatives based on their net outranking flows, reflecting the extent to which an alternative outranks or is outranked by others.

PROMETHEE (Preference Ranking Organization METHod for Enrichment Evaluations):

PROMETHEE is another family of MCDM methods that evaluate alternatives based on pairwise comparisons. It utilizes preference functions to calculate preference indices and assigns rankings accordingly. PROMETHEE methods allow for sensitivity analysis by considering different preference thresholds and criteria weights.

Multi-Attribute Utility Theory (MAUT):

MAUT combines decision theory and utility theory to evaluate alternatives. It involves quantifying the preferences of decision-makers in terms of utility functions for each criterion and aggregating the utilities to obtain a global utility value for each alternative. Alternatives are then ranked based on their utility values.

Fuzzy Logic-based Methods:

Fuzzy Logic-based methods handle uncertainties and imprecise data by utilizing fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic. These methods allow decision-makers to express their preferences and evaluations in linguistic terms rather than precise numerical values. Fuzzy MCDM methods include Fuzzy AHP, Fuzzy TOPSIS, and Fuzzy ELECTRE.

These are just a few examples of MCDM methods, and there are numerous other approaches and variations available, each with its own strengths and considerations. The choice of method depends on the characteristics of the decision problem, the available data, the decision-maker's preferences, and the desired level of complexity and rigor.

V. Applications of MCDM Methods

Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods have widespread applications across various domains and industries. Here are some common areas where MCDM methods are applied:

Project Selection and Management:

MCDM methods are used to evaluate and prioritize project proposals based on multiple criteria such as cost, feasibility, impact, and risk. Decision-makers can use MCDM techniques to select projects that align with organizational goals, optimize resource allocation, and maximize project success.

Supplier Selection and Vendor Management:

MCDM methods assist in selecting and evaluating suppliers or vendors based on criteria such as price, quality, delivery time, reliability, and customer service. By considering multiple criteria simultaneously, decision-makers can make informed choices and establish effective supplier relationships.

Financial Decision-Making:

MCDM methods aid in investment portfolio selection, capital budgeting, and risk assessment. Decision-makers can use MCDM techniques to evaluate investment options based on criteria such as return on investment, risk levels, liquidity, and diversification.

Environmental Management:

MCDM methods are applied to assess environmental impact, evaluate sustainable practices, and make decisions related to pollution control, resource management, and renewable energy projects. These methods help decision-makers consider ecological, economic, and social criteria simultaneously.

Healthcare and Medical Decision-Making:

MCDM methods support healthcare professionals in treatment selection, resource allocation, and healthcare policy development. Decision-makers can consider criteria such as effectiveness, cost, patient preferences, and ethical considerations when making complex medical decisions.

Transportation and Logistics:

MCDM methods are utilized in route optimization, fleet selection, and logistics planning. Decision-makers can evaluate alternatives based on criteria such as cost, delivery time, fuel efficiency, environmental impact, and customer satisfaction to improve transportation and logistics operations.

Urban Planning and Infrastructure Development:

MCDM methods assist in urban planning, site selection for infrastructure projects, and land-use management. Decision-makers can consider criteria such as accessibility, environmental impact, social equity, economic viability, and community preferences when making decisions that shape the built environment.

Supplier Evaluation and Performance Measurement:

MCDM methods are used to assess and monitor the performance of suppliers or service providers. Decision-makers can evaluate criteria such as quality, reliability, responsiveness, innovation, and compliance to ensure effective supplier management and continuous improvement.

Risk Management:

MCDM methods support decision-making in risk assessment, risk mitigation, and crisis management. By considering multiple risk factors and their associated consequences, decision-makers can prioritize risk management strategies and allocate resources effectively.

Human Resource Management:

MCDM methods aid in employee performance evaluation, talent acquisition, and promotion decisions. Decision-makers can consider criteria such as skills, experience, performance metrics, cultural fit, and career aspirations when making personnel-related decisions.

These are just a few examples of the diverse applications of MCDM methods. The

flexibility and adaptability of MCDM techniques make them valuable in complex decision-making scenarios where multiple criteria need to be considered simultaneously.

VI. Advantages and Limitations of MCDM Methods

MCDM methods offer several advantages that make them valuable tools for decision-making. However, they also have certain limitations that should be considered. Let's explore the advantages and limitations of MCDM methods:

Advantages of MCDM Methods:

Consideration of Multiple Criteria: MCDM methods allow decision-makers to consider and evaluate multiple criteria simultaneously. This enables a more comprehensive and holistic assessment of alternatives, taking into account various dimensions of the decision problem.
Structured Decision-Making Process: MCDM methods provide a structured framework that guides decision-makers through the decision-making process. They help to organize and analyze information, facilitating a systematic and transparent approach to decision-making.
Transparency and Accountability: MCDM methods provide a transparent and documented process for decision-making. The criteria, weights, and evaluation procedures are explicitly defined, allowing decision-makers to justify and communicate their decisions to stakeholders.
Flexibility and Adaptability: MCDM methods can accommodate different decision contexts and tailor the evaluation process to specific needs. They can handle both quantitative and qualitative criteria, allowing decision-makers to incorporate diverse types of information into the decision-making process.
Sensitivity Analysis: MCDM methods often support sensitivity analysis, enabling decision-makers to assess the robustness of the results. Sensitivity analysis helps identify the impact of changes in criteria weights, evaluations, or preferences on the final rankings, enhancing the understanding of uncertainties and the stability of the decision.
Limitations of MCDM Methods:

Subjectivity and Bias: MCDM methods rely on subjective judgments and preferences from decision-makers. The accuracy and consistency of these judgments can vary, leading to potential biases and subjectivity in the decision-making process.
Data Availability and Quality: MCDM methods heavily rely on data for criteria

evaluation. However, obtaining accurate and reliable data for all criteria can be challenging, particularly in complex decision problems. Incomplete or inaccurate data can affect the validity and reliability of the results.
Complex Decision Contexts: MCDM methods may struggle to handle decision problems with a large number of criteria and alternatives. The complexity of computations and the interpretation of results can become challenging, requiring additional effort and expertise.
Simplifying Assumptions: MCDM methods often require simplifying assumptions to facilitate calculations and comparisons. These assumptions may oversimplify the decision problem, neglecting important nuances and interactions among criteria, and potentially impacting the accuracy of the results.
Limited Consideration of Uncertainty: MCDM methods generally do not explicitly account for uncertainty and risk. While sensitivity analysis can provide insights into the robustness of the results, it may not capture all sources of uncertainty, limiting the ability to make fully informed decisions in uncertain environments.
Lack of Universal Method: No single MCDM method is universally applicable to all decision problems. The choice of method depends on the characteristics of the decision problem, available data, decision-maker's preferences, and the desired level of complexity. Selecting an appropriate method requires careful consideration and expertise.
It is important to recognize these advantages and limitations when using MCDM methods. Decision-makers should carefully assess the suitability of MCDM methods for their specific decision problem and exercise judgment in interpreting and applying the results.

VII. Conclusion

In conclusion, Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods provide decision-makers with valuable tools to evaluate and compare alternatives based on multiple criteria. These methods offer advantages such as considering multiple criteria simultaneously, providing a structured decision-making process, transparency, and flexibility. MCDM methods can be applied across various domains, including project selection, supplier management, financial decision-making, environmental management, and healthcare, among others.

However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of MCDM methods, including subjectivity and bias in judgments, data availability and quality issues, complexity in handling large decision contexts, simplifying assumptions, limited consideration of uncertainty, and the absence of a universal method that suits all scenarios. Decision-makers should be aware of these limitations and exercise

caution when applying MCDM methods, ensuring that the chosen method aligns with the decision problem and considering expert judgment and sensitivity analysis to enhance the robustness of the results.

MCDM methods continue to evolve, with ongoing research and advancements in the field. As decision-making becomes increasingly complex and multi-dimensional, MCDM methods provide a valuable framework for making informed decisions that account for multiple criteria and stakeholders' preferences. By leveraging the benefits of MCDM methods and mitigating their limitations, decision-makers can enhance the quality and effectiveness of their decision-making processes.

References:

1. Meer, M., Khan, M. A., Jabeen, K., Alzahrani, A. I., Alalwan, N., Shabaz, M., & Khan, F. (2024). Deep convolutional neural networks information fusion and improved whale optimization algorithm based smart oral squamous cell carcinoma classification framework using histopathological images. Expert Systems, e13536.
2. Khan, H. U., Abbas, M., Khan, F., Nazir, S., Binbusayyis, A., Alabdultif, A., & Taegkeun, W. (2024). Multi-criteria decision-making methods for the evaluation of the social internet of things for the potential of defining human behaviors. Computers in Human Behavior, 157, 108230.
3. Faisal, M., Alharbi, A., Alhamadi, A., Almutairi, S., Alenezi, S., Alsulaili, A., ... & Khan, F. (2024). Robot-Based Solution for Helping Alzheimer Patients. SLAS technology, 100140.
4. Sharma, S., Singh, J., Gupta, A., Ali, F., Khan, F., & Kwak, D. (2024). User Safety and Security in the Metaverse: A Critical Review. IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society.
5. Iqbal, S., Qureshi, A. N., Aurangzeb, K., Alhussein, M., Wang, S., Anwar, M. S., & Khan, F. (2024). Hybrid Parallel Fuzzy CNN Paradigm: Unmasking Intricacies for Accurate Brain MRI Insights. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems.
6. Albarakati, H. M., Khan, M. A., Hamza, A., Khan, F., Kraiem, N., Jamel, L., ... & Alroobaea, R. (2024). A Novel Deep Learning Architecture for Agriculture Land Cover and Land Use Classification from Remote Sensing Images Based on Network-Level Fusion of Self-Attention Architecture. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing.
7. Khan, R. U., Kumar, R., Haq, A. U., Khan, I., Shabaz, M., & Khan, F. (2024). Blockchain-Based Trusted Tracking Smart Sensing Network to Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases. IRBM, 45(2), 100829.

8. Khan, H. U., Hussain, A., Khan, F., Alotaibi, F. A., & Alnfiai, M. M. (2024). An Optimized Location-Based System for the Improvement of E-Commerce Systems. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics.
9. Jadhav, S. R., Bishnoi, A., Safarova, N., Khan, F., Aurangzeb, K., & Alhussein, M. (2024). Dual-Attention Based Multi-Path Approach for Intensifying Stock Market Forecasting.
10. Islam, U., Awwad, E. M., Sarhan, N. M., Fattah Sharaf, M. A., Ali, I., Khan, I., ... & Khan, F. (2024). Enhancing Economic Stability with Innovative Crude Oil Price Prediction and Policy Uncertainty Mitigation in USD Energy Stock Markets. Fluctuation and Noise Letters, 23(2), 2440021-86.
11. Khan, F., Abbas, S., & Khan, S. (2016). An efficient and reliable core-assisted multicast routing protocol in mobile Ad-Hoc network. International journal of advanced computer science and applications, 7(5).
12. Khan, F., Khan, A. W., Shah, K., Qasim, I., & Habib, A. (2019). An algorithmic approach for core election in mobile ad-hoc network. Journal of Internet Technology, 20(4), 1099-1111.
13. Farooqi, M. M., Shah, M. A., Wahid, A., Akhunzada, A., Khan, F., ul Amin, N., & Ali, I. (2019). Big data in healthcare: A survey. Applications of intelligent technologies in healthcare, 143-152.