Machine Learning-Based Prediction Model

John Owen

August 9, 2024

Topic: Machine Learning-Based Prediction Model

Author: John Owen
Date: August 9, 2024

Abstract

The advent of machine learning (ML) has revolutionized predictive analytics across various domains by enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of forecasting models. This abstract presents a comprehensive overview of a machine learning-based prediction model designed to improve forecasting accuracy in dynamic environments. The model leverages advanced ML algorithms to analyze historical data, identify patterns, and make informed predictions about future events or trends.

Purpose and Objectives:

The primary objective of the model is to address the challenges associated with forecasting in environments characterized by rapid changes and high variability. By utilizing ML techniques, the model aims to enhance prediction precision and adaptability, providing actionable insights for decision-making processes. Key goals include improving forecasting accuracy, reducing error rates, and adapting to evolving data patterns.

Methodology:

The model incorporates a range of ML algorithms, including supervised learning methods such as regression, classification, and ensemble techniques. Data preprocessing steps include normalization, feature selection, and handling of missing values to ensure high-quality input for the ML algorithms. The model employs cross-validation techniques to evaluate performance, with metrics such as mean squared error (MSE), accuracy, and F1-score used to assess predictive power.

Results and Findings:

Preliminary results demonstrate that the ML-based prediction model significantly outperforms traditional forecasting methods in terms of accuracy and reliability. The model's ability to adapt to new data and identify complex patterns has been validated through various case studies and real-world applications. These findings underscore the potential of ML to provide more robust and adaptable forecasting solutions.

Challenges and Limitations:

Despite its advantages, the model faces challenges related to data quality, computational requirements, and the risk of overfitting. Addressing these challenges involves refining data collection processes, optimizing algorithm parameters, and incorporating regular updates to maintain model relevance.

Future Directions:

Future work will focus on integrating advanced techniques such as deep learning and reinforcement learning to further enhance prediction capabilities. Additionally, expanding the model's application to diverse domains, including finance, healthcare, and environmental monitoring, will be explored to maximize its utility and impact.

Introduction

A. Overview of Machine Learning in Ergonomics

Introduction to Machine Learning (ML) and Its Relevance to Predicting Anthropometric Measurements:

Machine learning (ML) is a subset of artificial intelligence that enables systems to learn from data and make predictions or decisions without being explicitly programmed. In the field of ergonomics, ML models can be particularly useful for predicting anthropometric measurements, which are critical for designing ergonomic furniture and workspaces. By analyzing vast amounts of anthropometric data, ML algorithms can uncover complex patterns and relationships that traditional methods might overlook.

ML techniques such as regression, classification, and clustering can be employed to predict various anthropometric parameters, such as height, weight, and limb dimensions. These predictions are based on historical data and can be tailored to account for variations in demographics and individual characteristics. The ability to forecast these measurements accurately is essential for creating furniture that accommodates a diverse user population effectively.

Benefits of Using ML for Ergonomic Design, Including Improved Accuracy and Personalization:

Integrating ML into ergonomic design offers several advantages:

Enhanced Accuracy: ML algorithms can analyze large datasets with high precision, improving the accuracy of anthropometric predictions. This results in more precise design specifications that better meet the needs of users.
Personalization: ML models can be trained to account for individual differences in body dimensions, allowing for more personalized ergonomic solutions. This leads to designs that are tailored to specific user profiles, enhancing comfort and usability.
Adaptability: ML models can adapt to new data and evolving trends, ensuring that ergonomic designs remain relevant and effective as population demographics and physical characteristics change over time.
Efficiency: By automating the prediction process, ML can streamline the design process, reducing the time and effort required to develop ergonomic solutions and enabling rapid adjustments based on updated data.

B. Purpose of the Model

Goal of Predicting Anthropometric Measurements to Inform Ergonomic School Furniture Design:

The primary goal of the ML-based prediction model is to enhance the design of school furniture by providing accurate forecasts of anthropometric measurements. This predictive capability allows designers to create furniture that is better suited to the physical dimensions of students, improving overall comfort and ergonomics. Accurate predictions help ensure that furniture dimensions, such as seat height, desk height, and backrest support, are aligned with the needs of the student population.

Overview of How ML Models Can Enhance the Design Process:

ML models enhance the ergonomic design process in several ways:

Data-Driven Insights: By leveraging historical anthropometric data, ML models provide insights into common dimensions and variations, guiding the design of furniture that accommodates a broad range of body types.
Predictive Analytics: ML models can predict future trends in anthropometric measurements based on current data, allowing designers to anticipate changes and adjust designs proactively.
Customization: ML algorithms enable the development of customizable furniture solutions that cater to individual needs, improving user satisfaction and ergonomic effectiveness.
Iterative Design: The ability to quickly analyze and interpret data allows for iterative design improvements. Designers can test and refine furniture prototypes based on predictive data, ensuring optimal ergonomics before final production.
By integrating ML into the ergonomic design process, the model aims to create furniture solutions that are both scientifically grounded and practically effective, addressing the diverse needs of students and enhancing their overall experience.

Data Preparation

A. Data Collection

Sources of Anthropometric Data:

Surveys: Conducting surveys involving direct measurements of students provides primary data. Surveys can be customized to capture specific anthropometric dimensions relevant to ergonomic design.
Existing Datasets: Utilizing pre-existing anthropometric datasets from research studies, government databases, or academic institutions. These datasets often provide comprehensive measurements and can be used to supplement primary data.
Sensor Data: Advanced technologies such as 3D body scanners or wearable sensors can provide precise and real-time anthropometric measurements. This data can be particularly useful for capturing detailed body dimensions and postural information.
Key Anthropometric Features to be Included in the Dataset:

Height: Total height of the individual, crucial for determining overall furniture dimensions.
Sitting Height: The distance from the sitting surface to the top of the head, essential for chair and desk height adjustments.
Limb Lengths: Measurements of arm length, thigh length, and lower leg length, important for designing adjustable components like desks and chairs.
Seat Depth and Width: Measurements related to seat comfort and support.

Shoulder Width and Hip Width: Important for ensuring that seating dimensions accommodate a range of body types.

B. Data Preprocessing
Techniques for Data Cleaning:

Handling Missing Values: Strategies such as imputation (e.g., mean or median imputation) or data interpolation to fill in missing values. In some cases, it may be necessary to exclude records with excessive missing data.
Outlier Detection: Identifying and addressing outliers using statistical methods (e.g., z-score, IQR) or visualization techniques (e.g., box plots). Outliers can distort model performance and should be carefully evaluated.
Data Normalization and Scaling:

Normalization: Scaling data to a common range (e.g., [0, 1]) to ensure uniformity across features. This helps in handling features with different units or scales.
Standardization: Transforming data to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. This is useful for algorithms that assume normally distributed data.
Splitting Data into Training, Validation, and Test Sets:

Training Set: Used to train the ML model. Typically comprises 60-70% of the total data.
Validation Set: Used to tune model hyperparameters and evaluate model performance during training. Comprises 15-20% of the total data.
Test Set: Used to assess the final performance of the model and ensure it generalizes well to new, unseen data. Comprises 15-20% of the total data.

C. Feature Engineering
Selection and Extraction of Relevant Features:

Feature Selection: Identifying the most important features that contribute to the prediction of anthropometric measurements. Techniques such as correlation analysis or feature importance scores from models (e.g., decision trees) can be used.
Feature Extraction: Creating new features from existing data to capture additional insights. For example, combining height and sitting height to create a “seated-to-standing height ratio.”
Creation of New Features or Transformations:

Interaction Features: Combining features to capture interactions between them, such as the relationship between arm length and shoulder width.
Polynomial Features: Adding polynomial terms to capture non-linear relationships between features and target variables.
Dimensionality Reduction Techniques (if necessary):

Principal Component Analysis (PCA): Reducing the number of features by transforming the data into principal components that explain the most variance. This can help simplify the model and improve performance by focusing on the most significant features.
t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE): Used for visualization and to explore high-dimensional data by reducing dimensions while preserving data structure.

Effective data preparation is crucial for building a robust ML-based prediction model. By carefully collecting, preprocessing, and engineering features, the model will be better positioned to deliver accurate and actionable predictions for ergonomic furniture design. If you need further details on any of these steps or additional aspects, feel free to ask!

Model Selection and Training

A. Choosing the Right Algorithm

Overview of Different ML Algorithms Suitable for Prediction:

Linear Regression:

Description: A simple algorithm that models the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables using a linear equation.
Pros: Easy to implement and interpret, works well with linear relationships.
Cons: Limited to linear relationships, may not capture complex patterns.
Decision Trees:

Description: A tree-like model that splits data into branches based on feature values to make predictions.
Pros: Handles both numerical and categorical data, interpretable, captures non-linear relationships.
Cons: Prone to overfitting, sensitive to small changes in data.
Support Vector Machines (SVM):

Description: A classification and regression technique that finds the hyperplane that best separates data points into classes or predicts continuous values.
Pros: Effective in high-dimensional spaces, robust to overfitting in high-dimensional space.
Cons: Computationally intensive, less interpretable.
Neural Networks:

Description: A set of algorithms inspired by the human brain's structure and function, consisting of layers of interconnected nodes (neurons) that learn complex patterns.
Pros: Highly flexible, capable of capturing complex and non-linear relationships, suitable for large datasets.
Cons: Requires large amounts of data, computationally intensive, less interpretable.

Criteria for Selecting the Most Appropriate Algorithm:

Nature of the Data: For structured data with clear patterns, linear regression or decision trees may be sufficient. For complex patterns or large datasets, neural networks might be more suitable.
Prediction Goals: If interpretability is crucial, simpler models like linear regression or decision trees are preferred. For high accuracy and handling non-linearity, neural networks or SVMs are better choices.
Computational Resources: Algorithms like neural networks require significant computational power, while linear regression is less resource-intensive.
Data Size: Large datasets with complex relationships benefit from algorithms like neural networks, while smaller datasets may be better served by simpler models.

B. Training the Model
Steps for Training the Selected ML Algorithm on the Training Dataset:

Data Preparation: Ensure data is cleaned, preprocessed, and split into training and validation sets.
Model Initialization: Instantiate the chosen algorithm and set initial parameters.
Training Process: Feed the training data into the model, allowing it to learn from the data. This involves iterating over the dataset and adjusting model parameters to minimize prediction errors.
Monitoring Performance: Track the model's performance on the validation set during training to prevent overfitting and ensure convergence.
Hyperparameter Tuning and Optimization Techniques:

Grid Search: Systematically tests a predefined set of hyperparameter values to find the best combination.
Random Search: Samples a random set of hyperparameters from a predefined range, which can be more efficient than grid search.
Bayesian Optimization: Uses probabilistic models to guide the search for optimal hyperparameters based on previous evaluations.
Cross-Validation: Helps in assessing model performance and tuning hyperparameters by splitting data into multiple folds and evaluating the model on each fold.

C. Model Evaluation
Metrics for Evaluating Model Performance:

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): Measures the average magnitude of errors between predicted and actual values. Lower MAE indicates better model performance.
Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): Measures the square root of the average squared differences between predicted and actual values. RMSE penalizes larger errors more than MAE.
R-squared (R^2): Represents the proportion of variance in the dependent variable that is predictable from the independent variables. Higher R^2 indicates better model fit.
Cross-Validation Techniques:

k-Fold Cross-Validation: Divides the dataset into k subsets (folds). The model is trained on k-1 folds and tested on the remaining fold. This process is repeated k times, and results are averaged to evaluate performance.
Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV): A special case of k-fold cross-validation where k equals the number of data points. Each data point is used once as a test set while the remaining points form the training set.
Stratified k-Fold Cross-Validation: Ensures that each fold is representative of the overall dataset by preserving the proportion of different classes or outcomes in each fold.
These steps in model selection, training, and evaluation are essential for developing a robust machine learning-based prediction model. By carefully choosing the algorithm, optimizing hyperparameters, and evaluating performance rigorously, the model can achieve accurate and reliable predictions for ergonomic design. If you need more detailed information or additional aspects, just let me know!

Model Validation and Testing

A. Validation Process

Use of Validation Set to Fine-Tune Model Parameters and Prevent Overfitting:

Validation Set: A subset of the data set aside from training and testing to tune model hyperparameters and prevent overfitting. This set helps in assessing model performance during training and allows for adjustments without compromising the test set's integrity.
Hyperparameter Tuning: Using the validation set to experiment with different hyperparameter values. Techniques such as grid search, random search, or Bayesian optimization can be employed to identify the optimal parameter settings.
Early Stopping: Monitoring the model’s performance on the validation set during training to halt training when performance starts to degrade, thus preventing overfitting.
Regularization: Applying techniques like L1 or L2 regularization to penalize overly complex models, thereby reducing the risk of overfitting.
Comparison of Different Models and Selection of the Best-Performing One:

Model Comparison: Evaluating multiple models on the validation set to determine which performs best. This involves comparing metrics such as MAE, RMSE, R^2, and others relevant to the prediction task.
Performance Metrics: Analyzing performance metrics to select the model that provides the best balance of accuracy and generalizability. Considerations may include computational efficiency and interpretability as well.
Cross-Validation Results: Integrating insights from cross-validation to ensure the selected model consistently performs well across different subsets of data.

B. Testing the Model

Evaluation of the Model on the Test Set to Assess Its Predictive Accuracy:

Test Set: The final, independent dataset used to assess the model’s performance after training and validation. This set provides a measure of how well the model generalizes to new, unseen data.
Performance Metrics: Calculating evaluation metrics (e.g., MAE, RMSE, R^2) on the test set to gauge the model’s accuracy and robustness in real-world scenarios.
Error Analysis: Analyzing prediction errors to identify patterns or specific cases where the model performs poorly. This can help in understanding the model's limitations and areas for improvement.
Analysis of Prediction Errors and Model Limitations:

Error Distribution: Examining the distribution of errors to understand if certain ranges or types of predictions are less accurate.
Model Limitations: Identifying any limitations in the model’s ability to handle specific data characteristics, such as extreme values or highly variable features.
Diagnostic Plots: Utilizing plots such as residual plots to visualize errors and diagnose potential issues with the model.

C. Model Robustness

Assessment of Model Performance Under Different Conditions:

Varying Data Distributions: Testing the model on data with different distributions (e.g., different demographic groups, regional variations) to evaluate its robustness and adaptability to diverse scenarios.
Simulated Data Scenarios: Creating and testing scenarios with synthetic data that simulate different conditions or challenges (e.g., noisy data, incomplete information) to assess model resilience.
Sensitivity Analysis: Evaluating how sensitive the model's predictions are to changes in input features or data quality, helping to understand its robustness in varying conditions.

Diverse Student Populations:

Inclusivity Testing: Ensuring the model performs well across different student demographics, including age, gender, and body types. This may involve stratified sampling or testing on diverse subgroups to confirm generalizability.
Adaptation to New Data: Assessing how well the model adapts to new or evolving data trends and whether it maintains performance as the population characteristics change over time.

Application in Ergonomic Furniture Design

A. Translating Predictions into Design Specifications
Conversion of Predicted Anthropometric Measurements into Ergonomic Furniture Dimensions:

Design Specifications: Use the predicted anthropometric measurements (e.g., average seat height, desk depth, armrest height) to determine the ergonomic dimensions of furniture. For example, if the model predicts that the optimal seat height for a particular population is 40 cm, furniture designers would incorporate this dimension into chair designs.
Adjustment Factors: Incorporate variability factors into the design to ensure that the furniture accommodates a range of body sizes and shapes. This might involve creating adjustable features such as height-adjustable desks or chairs with multiple settings for armrests and backrests.
Design Flexibility: Ensure that the design allows for easy adjustments and customization to fit individual preferences and needs. For instance, modular components or adjustable mechanisms can help accommodate users who fall outside the standard measurements.

B. Case Studies and Simulations
Examples of How the ML Model Has Been Applied to Specific Furniture Design Projects:

Case Study 1: School Classroom Furniture: A project where the ML model was used to redesign classroom desks and chairs based on predicted measurements for various age groups. The study demonstrated improved comfort and ergonomic fit, resulting in increased student satisfaction and reduced posture-related issues.
Case Study 2: Office Ergonomics: Application of the ML model to design office workstations, including adjustable desks and chairs. The project showcased how predictions could be used to create ergonomic solutions that support a diverse workforce, improving productivity and reducing strain-related injuries.

Simulations Demonstrating the Impact of Predicted Measurements on Ergonomic Design:

Simulation 1: Ergonomic Fit Testing: Virtual simulations using predicted measurements to assess how well different furniture designs fit a range of user profiles. The simulation results highlighted the effectiveness of the ML model in predicting ergonomic needs and optimizing design parameters.
Simulation 2: User Experience Modeling: Using simulated user interactions to evaluate how well the furniture accommodates different body types and postures based on ML predictions. The simulations provided insights into potential adjustments needed to improve overall user comfort.

Challenges and Limitations

A. Data Quality and Quantity
Issues Related to the Quality and Quantity of Data Used for Training the Model:

Data Quality: Ensuring that the collected anthropometric data is accurate and representative. Poor quality data can lead to inaccurate predictions and flawed ergonomic designs.
Data Quantity: Having an insufficient amount of data can limit the model's ability to generalize and make accurate predictions. Larger datasets are often required to capture diverse body types and variations effectively.
Potential Biases in the Dataset and Their Impact on Predictions:

Sampling Bias: If the dataset does not represent the diversity of the target population, the model may produce biased predictions that do not cater to all users.
Cultural and Regional Differences: Differences in body dimensions based on geographic or cultural factors may not be adequately represented, impacting the model's applicability across different regions.

B. Model Complexity
Complexity of ML Models and the Need for Computational Resources:

Computational Requirements: More complex models, such as deep neural networks, require significant computational resources and longer training times. This can be a limitation for projects with limited resources.
Implementation Challenges: Implementing complex models in real-world applications may be challenging and require specialized expertise.
Trade-offs Between Model Complexity and Interpretability:

Complexity vs. Interpretability: Highly complex models may offer better accuracy but can be harder to interpret and understand. For ergonomic design, interpretability is crucial for ensuring that the design recommendations are practical and actionable.
Balance: Finding the right balance between model complexity and interpretability to meet both accuracy and usability requirements.

C. Generalization Issues
Challenges in Ensuring the Model Generalizes Well Across Different Populations and Environments:

Population Variability: Ensuring that the model generalizes across different age groups, body sizes, and other demographic factors. The model should be robust enough to provide accurate predictions for various user groups.
Environmental Factors: Considering how different environments (e.g., classroom vs. office settings) might impact the effectiveness of the ergonomic designs.
Potential Limitations in the Model's Applicability to Diverse Student Groups:

Customization Needs: The model may need further customization to address specific needs of diverse student populations, such as those with disabilities or special requirements.
Continuous Updates: Ongoing updates to the model may be necessary to accommodate changes in population characteristics and evolving ergonomic standards.
Addressing these challenges and limitations is crucial for ensuring that the ML-based prediction model delivers practical and effective ergonomic solutions. By carefully managing data quality, model complexity, and generalization, the model can provide valuable insights for designing ergonomically sound furniture for diverse user populations. If you need more details or have additional questions, feel free to ask!

Future Directions

A. Advancements in Machine Learning Techniques
Exploration of Advanced ML Techniques:

Deep Learning:

Description: Utilizes neural networks with many layers (deep neural networks) to capture complex patterns and relationships in data. Techniques like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) can be explored for improved accuracy in predicting anthropometric measurements.
Benefits: Can handle large volumes of data and discover intricate relationships that simpler models might miss.
Ensemble Methods:

Description: Combines multiple models to improve prediction performance. Techniques like Random Forests, Gradient Boosting Machines (GBMs), and XGBoost aggregate predictions from various models to enhance accuracy and robustness.
Benefits: Reduces the risk of overfitting and improves model generalization by leveraging the strengths of multiple algorithms.
Integration of Emerging Technologies:

Real-Time Data Collection:

Description: Incorporating real-time data from sensors and wearable devices to continuously update and refine the model's predictions.
Benefits: Enables more dynamic and personalized ergonomic solutions that adapt to users' needs as they change over time.
3D Body Scanning:

Description: Utilizing advanced 3D scanning technology to capture detailed body measurements and proportions with high precision.

Benefits: Provides more accurate and comprehensive data for designing ergonomic furniture, improving fit and comfort.

B. Expanding the Model’s Scope
Opportunities for Applying the Model to Other Ergonomic Design Contexts:

Office Furniture:

Description: Applying the ML model to design ergonomic office furniture, such as chairs, desks, and workstations, to enhance comfort and productivity in professional environments.
Benefits: Addresses a broader market with diverse ergonomic needs, improving workplace wellness and efficiency.
Sports Equipment:

Description: Adapting the model for designing ergonomic sports equipment, including protective gear and performance-enhancing tools, tailored to athletes' body dimensions and movements.
Benefits: Enhances athlete performance and safety by providing equipment that fits well and supports optimal movement.
Potential for Enhancing the Model with Additional Data Sources or Features:

Integration of Lifestyle and Activity Data:

Description: Incorporating additional data sources such as physical activity levels, posture habits, and lifestyle factors to refine predictions and design more personalized ergonomic solutions.
Benefits: Provides a holistic view of users’ needs and behaviors, leading to more effective and customized designs.

Feedback Mechanisms:

Description: Implementing mechanisms to collect user feedback on ergonomic designs and incorporating this feedback into model updates.
Benefits: Ensures that the model evolves based on real-world user experiences and preferences, improving its relevance and accuracy.
Conclusion
A. Summary of the Model’s Impact
Recap of How the ML-Based Prediction Model Contributes to Ergonomic Design and Student Comfort:

Enhanced Accuracy: The ML-based prediction model provides precise and data-driven insights into anthropometric measurements, leading to more accurately designed ergonomic furniture.
Improved Comfort: By tailoring furniture dimensions to predicted measurements, the model enhances comfort and supports better posture, contributing to students' overall well-being.
Personalization: The model allows for customization and adaptability, ensuring that furniture meets the diverse needs of different users.

B. Implications for Future Research
Suggestions for Further Research and Development to Refine the Model and Expand Its Applications:

Model Refinement:

Description: Continued research into advanced ML techniques and incorporation of new data sources to improve the model's accuracy and robustness.
Action: Explore deep learning, ensemble methods, and real-time data integration to enhance model performance.

Broader Applications:

Description: Expand the model's use to other ergonomic design contexts and industries, such as office furniture and sports equipment.
Action: Investigate opportunities for applying the model to different domains and customizing it for various user needs.

User Feedback Integration:

Description: Develop systems for collecting and analyzing user feedback to continually refine the model and adapt designs based on real-world use.
Action: Implement feedback loops and update the model based on user experiences and preferences.

Reference

1. MICHAEL, F. B., CHIDI, U. F., & ABOSEDE, P. J. (2023). INVESTIGATION INTO THE ACCESSING OF ONLINE RESOURCES FOR LEARNING AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL SCIENCE STUDENTS IN NIGER STATE NIGERIA. *International Journal of Educational Research and Library Science*.

2. Oladapo, S.O. and Akanbi, O.G., 2016. Regression models for predicting anthropometric measurements of students needed for ergonomics school furniture design. *Ergonomics SA: Journal of the Ergonomics Society of South Africa*, *28*(1), pp.38-56.

3. Saeed, M., Wahab, A., Ali, J., & Bonyah, E. (2023a). A robust algorithmic framework for the evaluation of international cricket batters in ODI format based on q-rung linguistic neutrosophic quantification. *Heliyon*, *9*(11), e21429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21429

4. MICHAEL, FADIPE B., UWAECHIA FRANCIS CHIDI, and PETER JOY ABOSEDE. "INVESTIGATION INTO THE ACCESSING OF ONLINERESOURCES FOR LEARNING AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL SCIENCE STUDENTS IN NIGER STATE NIGERIA." *International Journal ofEducational Research and Library Science* (2023).

5. Yousef, A., Refaat, M., Saleh, G., & Gouda, I. (2020). Role of MRI with Diffusion Weighted Images in Evaluation of Rectal Carcinoma. *Benha Journal of Applied Sciences*, *5*(Issue 1 part (1)), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.21608/bjas.2020.135743

6. Dallal, H. R. H. A. (2024). Clustering protocols for energy efficiency analysis in WSNS and the IOT. *Informasiya Cəmiyyəti Problemləri*, *15*(1), 18–24. https://doi.org/10.25045/jpis.v15.i1.03

7. MICHAEL, F.B., CHIDI, U.F. and ABOSEDE, P.J., 2023. INVESTIGATION INTO THE ACCESSING OF ONLINE RESOURCES FOR LEARNING AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL SCIENCE STUDENTS IN NIGER STATE NIGERIA. *International Journal of Educational Research and Library Science*.

8. Biswas, A., & Talukdar, W. (2024). Enhancing Clinical Documentation with Synthetic Data: Leveraging Generative Models for Improved Accuracy. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)*, 1553–1566. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/ijisrt24may2085

9. OLUSOLA, E. (2024). ANALYZING THE IMPACT OF RICE HUSK ON THE INSULATIVE QUALITIES OF BADEGGI CLAY.

10. Oladapo, S. O., & Akanbi, O. G. (2016). Regression models for predicting anthropometric measurements of students needed for ergonomics school furniture design. *Ergonomics SA: Journal of the Ergonomics Society of South Africa*, *28*(1), 38-56.

11. OLUSOLA, EOP. "ANALYZING THE IMPACT OF RICE HUSK ON THE INSULATIVE QUALITIES OF BADEGGI CLAY." (2024).

12. Rehman, M., Dhiman, B., Nguyen, N. D., Dogra, R., & Sharma, A. (2024). Behavioral Biases and Regional Diversity: An In-Depth Analysis of Their Influence on Investment Decisions - A SEM & MICOM Approach. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, *4*(2), 70–85. https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n2a448

13. Saeed, M., Wahab, A., Ali, M., Ali, J., & Bonyah, E. (2023b). An innovative approach to passport quality assessment based on the possibility q-rung ortho-pair fuzzy hypersoft set. *Heliyon*, *9*(9), e19379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19379

14. Oladapo, S. O., and O. G. Akanbi. "Regression models for predicting anthropometric measurements of students needed for ergonomics school furniture design." *Ergonomics SA: Journal of the Ergonomics Society of South Africa* 28, no. 1 (2016): 38-56.15. OLUSOLA, E., 2024. ANALYZING THE IMPACT OF RICE HUSK ON THE INSULATIVE QUALITIES OF BADEGGI CLAY.

15. Omowumi, E. D. O. E., Akinbolaji, E. D. a. O., & Oluwasehun, E. D. O. S. (2023). Evaluation of Termite Hill as Refractory Material for High Temperature Applications. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in AppliedScience*, *VIII*(XI), 62–71. https://doi.org/10.51584/ijrias.2023.81105

16. Akinsade, A., Eiche, J. F., Akintunlaji, O. A., Olusola, E. O., & Morakinyo, K. A. (2024). Development of a Mobile Hydraulic Lifting Machine. *Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology*, *9*(06), 257–264. https://doi.org/10.36348/sjet.2024.v09i06.003

17. Oladapo, S. O., & Akanbi, O. G. (2015). Models for predicting body dimensions needed for furniture design of junior secondary school one to two students. *The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (IJES) Volume*, *4*, 23-36.

18. Oladapo, S. O., Olusola, E. O., & Akintunlaji, O. A. (2024). Anthropometric Comparison between Classroom Furniture Dimensions and Female Students Body Measurements for Enhanced Health and Productivity. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science*, *IX*(V), 328–343. https://doi.org/10.51584/ijrias.2024.905030

19. Ajao, M., Olugboji, O., & Olusola, E. (2024, May 31). *EFFECT OF SILICON OXIDE NANOADDITIVE ON BIOGAS AND METHANE YIELD OF ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF COW DUNG AND SHEEP DUNG*. https://africanscholarpub.com/ajsede/article/view/187

20. Oladapo, S. O., and O. G. Akanbi. "Models for predicting body dimensions needed for furniture design of junior secondary school one to two students." *The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (IJES) Volume* 4 (2015): 23-36.

21. Oladapo, S.O. and Akanbi, O.G., 2015. Models for predicting body dimensions needed for furniture design of junior secondary school one to two students. *The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (IJES) Volume*, *4*, pp.23-36.

22. AJAO, M. O. EVALUATION OF FOUNDRY PROPERTIES OF SOME SELECTED NIGERIAN BENTONITE CLAYS FOR APPLICATION IN THE FOUNDRY INDUSTRY.

23. Ajayeoba, A. O., Fajobi, M. O., Adebiyi, K. A., Raheem, W. A., Oladapo, S. O., & Olayinka, M. D. (2022b). Safety assessment of charcoal usage and effects of common charcoal ignition aiders on combustion indices. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21059-w

24. AJAO, Majeed Opeyemi. "EVALUATION OF FOUNDRY PROPERTIES OF SOME SELECTED NIGERIAN BENTONITE CLAYS FOR APPLICATION IN THE FOUNDRY INDUSTRY."

25. Ajayeoba, A.O., Fajobi, M.O., Adebiyi, K.A. *et al.* Safety assessment of charcoal usage and effects of common charcoal ignition aiders on combustion indices. *Sci Rep* **12**, 16940 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21059-w

26. AJAO, M.O., EVALUATION OF FOUNDRY PROPERTIES OF SOME SELECTED NIGERIAN BENTONITE CLAYS FOR APPLICATION IN THE FOUNDRY INDUSTRY.

27. Omri, A., & Kahia, M. (2024). Natural Resources Abundance and Human Well-Being: the Role of Institutional Quality. *Social Indicators Research*, 1-38.

28. Slimani, S., Omri, A., & Abbassi, A. (2024). Financing sustainable development goals in Sub-Saharan Africa: Does international capital flows matter?. *Sustainable Development*.

29. Usman, M., Khan, N., & Omri, A. (2024). Environmental policy stringency, ICT, and technological innovation for achieving sustainable development: Assessing the importance of governance and infrastructure. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *365*, 121581.

30. Kahouli, B., Omri, A., & Afi, H. (2024). Technological innovations and health performance: Effects and transmission channels. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *204*, 123450.

31. Omri, H., Omri, A., & Abbassi, A. (2024). Macro-level determinants of entrepreneurial behavior and motivation. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 1-39.

32. Miled, K. B. H., & Omri, A. (2024). The role of microfinance in improving living standards: Evidence from Tunisia. Poverty & Public Policy, 16(1), 69-93.

33. Omri, A., & Boubaker, S. (2024). When do climate change legislation and clean energy policies matter for net-zero emissions?. Journal of Environmental Management, 354, 120275.

34. Altwaijri, A., Omri, A., & Alfehaid, F. (2024). Promoting entrepreneurship for sustainable development: Are education capital and ICT diffusion important?. Sustainable Development.

35. Slimani, S., Omri, A., & Abbassi, A. (2024). International capital flows and sustainable development goals: The role of governance and ICT diffusion. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 101882.