



The Smallest Gap Between Primes

Frank Vega

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

June 15, 2023

The smallest gap between primes

Frank Vega^{1*}

^{1*}Research Department, NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix, Paris, 75002, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

Abstract

A prime gap is the difference between two successive prime numbers. A twin prime is a prime that has a prime gap of two. The twin prime conjecture states that there are infinitely many twin primes. In May 2013, the popular Yitang Zhang's paper was accepted by the journal *Annals of Mathematics* where it was announced that for some integer N that is less than 70 million, there are infinitely many pairs of primes that differ by N . A few months later, James Maynard gave a different proof of Yitang Zhang's theorem and showed that there are infinitely many prime gaps with size of at most 600. A collaborative effort in the Polymath Project, led by Terence Tao, reduced to the lower bound 246 just using Zhang and Maynard results. In this note, using arithmetic operations, we prove that the twin prime conjecture is true.

Keywords: Twin prime conjecture, Prime numbers, Prime gap

MSC Classification: 11A41 , 11A25

1 Introduction

Leonhard Euler studied the following value of the Riemann zeta function (1734).

Proposition 1 *It is known that*[1, (1) pp. 1070]:

$$\zeta(2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

Twin prime conjecture

2 The smallest gap between primes

where p_k is the k th prime number (We also use the notation p_n to denote the n th prime number).

Proposition 2 For $y > 24317$ [2]:

$$\sum_{p_k \geq y} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{y \cdot \log y} - \frac{1}{y \cdot \log^2 y} + \frac{2}{y \cdot \log^3 y} - \frac{10.26}{y \cdot \log^4 y}.$$

Franz Mertens obtained some important results about the constants B and H (1874). We define $H = \gamma - B$ such that $B \approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [3, (17.) pp. 54].

Proposition 3 We have [4, Lemma 2.1 (1) pp. 359]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) = \gamma - B = H,$$

where \log is the natural logarithm.

For $x \geq 2$, the function $u(x)$ is defined as follows [5, pp. 379]:

$$u(x) = \sum_{p_k > x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right).$$

We use the following function:

Definition 1 For all $x > 1$ and $a \geq 0$, we define the function:

$$H_a(x) = \log\left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right) - \frac{1}{x+a} + \log\left(\frac{x^2 - \frac{\log(x)+1}{\sqrt{x}}}{x^2}\right).$$

We state the following Propositions:

Proposition 4 For a sufficiently large positive value x , we have $H_2(x) < 0$. Certainly, $H_2(x)$ is negative for all $x \geq 60000$ since it is negative for $x = 60000$, strictly decreasing for $x \geq 60000$ (because its derivative is lesser than 0 for $x \geq 60000$) and its greatest root is between 50000 and 60000 (See Figure 1).

Proposition 5 For a sufficiently large positive value x , we have $H_4(x) > 0$. Certainly, $H_4(x)$ is positive for all $x \geq 1.5$ since it is positive for $x = 1.5$ and its unique root is between 1.4 and 1.5 (See Figure 2).

The following property is based on natural logarithms:




Fig. 1 Roots of $H_2(x)$ [6]




Fig. 2 Roots of $H_4(x)$ [7]

Proposition 6 [8, pp. 1]. For $x > -1$:

$$\log(1+x) \leq x.$$

Proposition 7 [9, Theorem 1.1 (13) pp. 3]. For $x \geq 1$:

$$\frac{1}{x+0.4} > \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) > \frac{1}{x+0.5}.$$

Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1 The twin prime conjecture is true.

2 Infinite Sums

Lemma 1

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) = \log(\zeta(2)) - H.$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\zeta(2)) - H &= \log\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{(p_k - 1) \cdot (p_k + 1)}\right) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k + 1}\right) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{p_k + 1}{p_k}\right) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 1 and 3. □

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right) \right) = \log(\zeta(2)) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\zeta(2)) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) &= \log(\zeta(2)) - H + H + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) + H + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. □

3 Partial Sums

Lemma 3

$$\sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) = \log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq x} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) - H + u(x).$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq x} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) - H + u(x) &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) \right) - H + u(x) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{(p_k-1) \cdot (p_k+1)}\right) \right) - H + u(x) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k+1}\right) \right) - H + u(x) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{p_k+1}{p_k}\right) \right) - H + u(x) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right) - \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 1 and 3. □

Lemma 4

$$\sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right) \right) = \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right).$$

6 *The smallest gap between primes*

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) - H + u(p_{n-1}) + H - u(p_{n-1}) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \sum_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right) + H - u(p_{n-1}) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \sum_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right) + \sum_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \sum_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \sum_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right)\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3. □

4 Main Insight

Lemma 5

$$\sum_{p_k \geq p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right)\right) = \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right).$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{p_k \geq p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right)\right) - \sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right)\right) \\
 &= \log(\zeta(2)) + \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \log\left(\prod_{p_k \leq p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\
 &= \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2 and 4. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof Suppose that the twin prime conjecture is false. Then, there would exist a sufficiently large prime number p_n such that for all prime gaps starting from $p_n + 2$,

this implies that they are greater than or equal to 4. In addition, we assume that $p_n + 2$ is also prime. We know that

$$\sum_{p_k > p_n} H_4(p_k) > 0$$

due to Proposition 5. By Proposition 7, we have

$$\left(\log\left(\frac{p_n}{p_n-1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \frac{1}{p_n+4} \right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} H_4(p_k) > 0.$$

That is equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \sum_{p_k \geq p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \frac{1}{p_{k+1}} \right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2}\right) > 0$$

since $-\frac{1}{p_{k+1}} \geq -\frac{1}{p_k+4}$ under our assumption. Moreover, we obtain that

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \sum_{p_k \geq p_n} \left(\log\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right) \right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2}\right) > 0$$

since $-\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}\right) \geq -\frac{1}{p_{k+1}}$ by Proposition 6. By Lemma 5, we deduce

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2}\right) > 0.$$

So,

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \left(\frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}}\right)^{\frac{\log(p_k)}{\log(p_k)}}}{p_k^2}\right) > 0$$

which is

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}} \sqrt{e}}{p_k^2}\right) > 0$$

since $x^{\frac{1}{\log x}} = e$ for $x > -1$. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - 2.54}{p_k^2}\right) > \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k)+1}{\sqrt{p_k}} \sqrt{e}}{p_k^2}\right)$$

for a prime number $p_n > 10^6$. Finally, we obtain that

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{p_k \geq p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - 2.54}{p_k^2}\right) > 0$$

which is

$$-\frac{1}{p_n-0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2-1}\right) + \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2 - 2.54}{p_k^2-1}\right) > 0.$$

However, the previous inequality is trivially false, because of

$$\log\left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2-1}\right) < \frac{1}{p_n^2-0.6} < \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2-1}\right) < \sum_{p_k > p_n} \log\left(\frac{p_k^2-1}{p_k^2-2.54}\right)$$

8 *The smallest gap between primes*

when $p_{n+1} = p_n + 2$ and

$$-\frac{1}{p_n - 0.5} + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right) < -\frac{1}{p_n - 0.5} + \frac{1}{p_n + 0.4} < 0$$

by Proposition 2 and 7. Hence, the inequality

$$\sum_{p_k > p_n} H_4(p_k) > 0$$

would not hold by transitivity. For that reason, we obtain a contradiction under the supposition that the twin prime conjecture is false. By *reductio ad absurdum*, we prove that the twin prime conjecture is true. \square

6 Conclusions

Until today, it has not been found a straightforward application to this problem. Of course, this is close related to prime numbers and prime numbers have been used for decades in the security of computer software including Artificial Intelligence solutions. However, the author used a computational and artificial intelligence as a tool for making his mathematical proof. This is a website called Wolfram Alpha and it has been developed by Wolfram Research for years. In this way, this proof reveals the capabilities and potential of such mathematical tool and it is an evidence of the promissory relation between pure and applied mathematics with the Artificial Intelligence.

References

- [1] R. Ayoub, Euler and the zeta function. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **81**(10), 1067–1086 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2319041>
- [2] J.L. Nicolas, The sum of divisors function and the Riemann hypothesis. *The Ramanujan Journal* pp. 1–45 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-021-00491-y>
- [3] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie. *J. reine angew. Math.* **1874**(78), 46–62 (1874). <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46>
- [4] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin’s criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591>
- [5] J.L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d’Euler. *Journal of number theory* **17**(3), 375–388 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X\(83\)90055-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0)
- [6] Equation Solver - Wolfram Alpha. Roots for the function H in the value of $a = 2$. <https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i2d=true&i=log%5C%2840%29Divide%5BX%2C%5C%2840%29X+-+1%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29+-+Divide%5B1%2C%5C%2840%29X+%2B+>

