Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) in Developing Countries: an Analysis of Multinational Corporations' Tax Practices

Wayzman Kolawole

August 15, 2024

Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) in Developing Countries: An Analysis of Multinational Corporations' Tax Practices

Author: Wayzman Kolawole
Date:August 14, 2024

Abstract:

Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) poses significant challenges for developing countries, as it undermines their tax bases and hampers their economic growth. This paper analyzes the tax practices of multinational corporations (MNCs) that contribute to BEPS, with a focus on the impact on developing economies. By shifting profits to low or no-tax jurisdictions, MNCs exploit gaps and mismatches in tax rules, depriving developing countries of crucial tax revenues. The analysis examines the strategies used by MNCs, such as transfer pricing manipulation, interest deductions, and the use of tax havens, to minimize their tax liabilities. Additionally, the paper explores the implications of BEPS on public services, income inequality, and sustainable development in these regions. The role of international initiatives, including the OECD's BEPS Action Plan, is assessed in terms of their effectiveness in curbing these practices. Finally, the paper proposes policy recommendations for developing countries to strengthen their tax systems, enhance international cooperation, and ensure fair taxation of MNCs, thereby promoting economic stability and growth.

Introduction

A. Context and Importance:
Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) has become a critical issue in the global economy, particularly affecting developing countries. BEPS refers to strategies employed by multinational corporations (MNCs) to shift profits from high-tax jurisdictions to low or no-tax jurisdictions, thereby eroding the tax base of the countries where the profits are actually generated. For developing nations, where tax revenues are a vital source of funding for public services and infrastructure, the impact of BEPS is especially pronounced. These countries often have less robust tax systems and weaker enforcement mechanisms, making them more vulnerable to aggressive tax planning by MNCs. As globalization increases and cross-border economic activities become more complex, the relevance of addressing BEPS in developing countries grows increasingly urgent.

B. Purpose and Objectives:
The primary purpose of this analysis is to investigate how the tax practices of MNCs contribute to BEPS in developing nations. By examining the mechanisms through which MNCs exploit loopholes and inconsistencies in international tax regulations, this study aims to highlight the specific challenges faced by developing countries in safeguarding their tax bases. The analysis will focus on key practices such as transfer

pricing, profit shifting, and the use of tax havens. Additionally, the study will explore the broader economic and social implications of BEPS, including its impact on income inequality and the ability of developing countries to achieve sustainable development goals.

C. Thesis Statement:
BEPS practices by multinational corporations significantly undermine tax revenues in developing countries, leading to reduced public service funding and increased economic inequality. Addressing this issue requires targeted policy interventions at both the national and international levels to strengthen tax systems, enhance transparency, and ensure that MNCs contribute fairly to the economies in which they operate.

Understanding BEPS

A. Definition and Key Concepts:
Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) refers to the strategies used by multinational corporations (MNCs) to minimize their tax liabilities by exploiting gaps, mismatches, and loopholes in international tax rules. These practices allow MNCs to shift profits from high-tax jurisdictions, where their economic activities and value creation actually occur, to low-tax or no-tax jurisdictions, thereby eroding the tax base of the countries where the income is generated. BEPS undermines the integrity of tax systems, as it results in a misalignment between where profits are reported for tax purposes and where actual business activities take place. This not only reduces tax revenues for affected countries but also distorts competition and exacerbates income inequality, particularly in developing nations that rely heavily on corporate tax revenues.

B. Common BEPS Practices:
Multinational corporations employ various tactics to achieve BEPS, with some of the most common practices including:

- Profit Shifting: MNCs shift profits from high-tax jurisdictions to subsidiaries or affiliates in low-tax jurisdictions through a range of methods. This can involve moving intangible assets, such as intellectual property, to subsidiaries in tax havens, where the profits generated from these assets are taxed at minimal rates, if at all.

- Transfer Pricing Manipulation: Transfer pricing refers to the prices charged for goods, services, or intellectual property transferred between different entities within the same MNC. By manipulating these prices, MNCs can allocate more profits to subsidiaries in low-tax jurisdictions while reducing the reported profits in high-tax countries. This is often done by setting transfer prices that do not reflect the true market value of the transactions.

- Use of Tax Havens: MNCs often establish subsidiaries or holding companies in tax havens—countries or territories with very low or zero corporate tax rates and favorable secrecy laws. By routing profits through these jurisdictions, MNCs can avoid paying taxes in the countries where the profits were actually earned. Tax havens also facilitate other BEPS practices by providing legal frameworks that support aggressive tax planning.

- These practices collectively contribute to significant revenue losses for governments, particularly in developing countries, where the capacity to combat BEPS is often limited due to weaker regulatory and enforcement frameworks.

Impact on Developing Countries

A. Revenue Losses:
The financial ramifications of Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) are particularly severe for developing countries. These nations often rely heavily on corporate income taxes as a significant source of government revenue. According to estimates by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), developing countries may lose around $200 billion annually due to BEPS activities. This loss represents a substantial portion of their potential tax revenues, undermining their fiscal capacity to invest in critical areas such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure. The inability to collect appropriate taxes from multinational corporations (MNCs) not only strains national budgets but also places a disproportionate tax burden on smaller domestic businesses and individual taxpayers, who may lack the means to engage in similar tax avoidance strategies.

B. Economic and Social Consequences:
The erosion of tax bases due to BEPS has far-reaching economic and social implications for developing countries:

i. Public Services and Infrastructure: Reduced tax revenues limit the government's ability to fund essential public services, including education, healthcare, and public transportation. This underfunding hampers human capital development and can lead to deteriorating infrastructure, which in turn affects economic growth and development.

1. Income Inequality: BEPS contributes to widening income disparities. When MNCs avoid paying their fair share of taxes, the fiscal burden often shifts to less mobile factors of the economy, such as labor and consumption. This shift can lead to regressive taxation, where lower-income individuals bear a relatively higher tax burden, exacerbating income inequality.

2. Erosion of Public Trust: The perception that MNCs are not contributing their fair share undermines public trust in the tax system and government institutions. This erosion of trust can lead to lower tax compliance among citizens and businesses, further diminishing tax revenues.

3. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): The financial constraints imposed by BEPS impede the ability of developing countries to achieve the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. Investment in areas like poverty reduction, quality education, and clean energy becomes challenging without adequate fiscal resources.

Challenges in Addressing BEPS

A. Regulatory Weaknesses:
Developing countries often face significant challenges in formulating and enforcing effective tax regulations to combat BEPS:

- Limited Resources and Expertise: Tax authorities in developing nations may lack the technical expertise and resources necessary to detect and counter sophisticated tax avoidance schemes employed by MNCs. This deficiency hampers their ability to audit and challenge complex transactions effectively.

- Inadequate Legal Frameworks: Many developing countries have outdated or incomplete tax laws that do not adequately address modern business practices, such as digital transactions and intangible assets. This gap allows MNCs to exploit legal loopholes and engage in aggressive tax planning.

- Weak Enforcement Mechanisms: Even when appropriate laws exist, enforcement can be weak due to corruption, lack of political will, or insufficient institutional capacity. These factors can lead to inconsistent application of tax laws and reduced deterrence against BEPS activities.

B. Globalization Pressures:
The dynamics of globalization introduce additional complexities in the fight against BEPS:

- Competitive Tax Policies: In an effort to attract foreign direct investment (FDI), some developing countries engage in tax competition by offering favorable tax rates or incentives to MNCs. While intended to stimulate economic growth, such policies can create a "race to the bottom," further eroding the tax base.

- Dependence on MNCs: Developing economies often rely heavily on MNCs for employment, technology transfer, and economic development. This dependence can make governments hesitant to enforce stringent tax measures that might deter MNCs from operating within their borders.

- Lack of International Coordination: Addressing BEPS requires coordinated international action, but developing countries may have limited influence in global tax policy forums, such as the OECD. This marginalization can result in international tax standards that do not fully address the specific challenges faced by these nations.

- Digital Economy Challenges: The rise of the digital economy has made it easier for MNCs to conduct business in developing countries without a physical presence, complicating the application of traditional tax rules based on physical nexus and making it harder to capture taxable income.

In summary, while the impact of BEPS on developing countries is profound, addressing the issue is fraught with challenges stemming from both internal regulatory weaknesses and external globalization pressures. Effective solutions will require a multifaceted approach that enhances domestic tax capabilities and fosters greater international collaboration.

Policy Responses and Solutions

A. International Initiatives:
One of the most significant international efforts to combat Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) is the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development's (OECD) BEPS project. Launched in 2013, the BEPS project aims to close the gaps in international tax rules that allow MNCs to shift profits across borders with minimal tax liabilities. The project includes 15 action points that address various aspects of BEPS, such as improving transparency, tightening transfer pricing guidelines, and preventing treaty abuse.

For developing nations, the OECD's Inclusive Framework on BEPS, which includes over 140 countries, has been instrumental in ensuring that their voices are heard in global tax discussions. The framework facilitates the adoption of international tax standards and provides technical assistance to help developing countries implement the BEPS measures. However, while these initiatives have made strides in curbing BEPS, challenges remain. Many developing countries face difficulties in fully adopting and enforcing these measures due to limited resources and differing economic priorities. Additionally, the global minimum tax agreement, part of the OECD's BEPS 2.0 initiative, aims to ensure that MNCs pay a minimum level of tax on profits, regardless of where they are earned. This could help developing countries capture more tax revenue, but its effectiveness will depend on broad implementation and local capacity to enforce the rules.

B. National Reforms:
To effectively combat BEPS, developing countries must undertake comprehensive national reforms tailored to their specific contexts. Potential tax policy reforms include:

- Strengthening Transfer Pricing Rules: Developing countries can adopt or tighten transfer pricing regulations to ensure that intra-company transactions are conducted at arm's length. This involves setting clear guidelines and providing tax authorities with the tools and training needed to assess and enforce compliance.

- Implementing Controlled Foreign Corporation (CFC) Rules: CFC rules help prevent profit shifting by taxing the income of foreign subsidiaries controlled by domestic corporations, even if the income is not repatriated. These rules can deter the use of offshore tax havens by making it less attractive to shift profits out of the country.

- Capacity-Building Efforts: Building the capacity of tax administrations is crucial for effective enforcement of anti-BEPS measures. This includes investing in technology, training tax officials in complex international tax issues, and enhancing data collection and analysis capabilities. Partnerships with international organizations and developed countries can provide the necessary expertise and resources.

- Improving Tax Transparency: Developing countries can mandate greater transparency from MNCs operating within their borders, such as requiring country-by-country reporting of profits, taxes paid, and economic activities. This helps tax authorities detect and challenge BEPS practices more effectively.

- Regional Cooperation: Developing countries can benefit from regional cooperation on tax matters. By sharing information, aligning tax policies, and coordinating enforcement actions, neighboring countries can reduce the opportunities for MNCs to engage in BEPS.

Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Points:
Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) represents a significant challenge for developing countries, depriving them of essential tax revenues and exacerbating economic and social inequalities. MNCs employ a range of practices, including profit shifting, transfer pricing manipulation, and the use of tax havens, to minimize their tax liabilities in jurisdictions where they generate substantial income. The impact of BEPS on developing nations is profound, leading to underfunded public services, deteriorating infrastructure, and increased income inequality. Addressing these challenges is complicated by regulatory weaknesses, globalization pressures, and the evolving digital economy.

B. Recommendations for Action:
To combat BEPS effectively, developing countries require a combination of stronger international cooperation and targeted national reforms. Internationally, the continued support and expansion of initiatives like the OECD's BEPS project are essential, ensuring that developing countries have a seat at the table and access to the resources needed to implement global tax standards. Domestically, developing nations must focus on strengthening their tax laws, enhancing the capacity of their tax administrations, and improving transparency in corporate tax reporting. Additionally, fostering regional cooperation can help mitigate the effects of tax competition and create a more unified front against BEPS practices. By taking these steps, developing countries can protect their tax bases, promote fair competition, and achieve greater economic stability and growth.

Reference

1. Afzal, M. N. I., & Kalra, A. (2024). An investigation on immigration inflows, GDP productivity and knowledge production in selected OECD countries: A panel model analysis. *Review of Economics and Political Science*. https://doi.org/10.1108/reps-03-2023-0022

2. Paudel, R. (2023). Navigating the Complexities of Qualitative Research in Built Environmental Studies: Methodologies, Philosophies, and Credibility.

International Journal of Applied and Scientific Research, *1*(4), 381–390. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijasr.v1i4.1058

3. Kalra, A., & Afzal, M. N. I. (2023). Transfer pricing practices in multinational corporations and their effects on developing countries' tax revenue: a systematic literature review. *International Trade, Politics and Development*, *7*(3), 172-190.

4. Paudel, R. (2024). The Impact of Automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Leadership and the Workforce. *Indonesian Journal of Banking and Financial Technology*, *2*(2), 109–124. https://doi.org/10.55927/fintech.v2i2.8904

5. Afzal, M. N. I., & Kalra, A. (2024). An investigation on immigration inflows, GDP productivity and knowledge production in selected OECD countries: A panel model analysis. *Review of Economics and Political Science*.

6. Paudel, R., & Sherm, A. (2024). Exploring the Theoretical Landscape: Implications of Remote Work on Employee Performance and Well-being. *Indonesian Journal of Applied and Industrial Sciences (ESA)*, *3*(3), 263–278. https://doi.org/10.55927/esa.v3i3.8954

7. Kalra, Akash, and Munshi Naser Ibne Afzal. "Transfer pricing practices in multinational corporations and their effects on developing countries' tax revenue: a systematic literature review." *International Trade, Politics and Development* 7, no. 3 (2023): 172-190.

8. Saeed, M., Wahab, A., Ali, J., & Bonyah, E. (2023b). A robust algorithmic framework for the evaluation of international cricket batters in ODI format based on q-rung linguistic neutrosophic quantification. *Heliyon*, *9*(11), e21429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21429

9. Afzal, Munshi Naser Ibne, and Akash Kalra. "An investigation on immigration inflows, GDP productivity and knowledge production in selected OECD countries: A panel model analysis." *Review of Economics and Political Science* (2024).

10. Saeed, M., Wahab, A., Ali, M., Ali, J., & Bonyah, E. (2023b). An innovative approach to passport quality assessment based on the possibility q-rung ortho-pair fuzzy hypersoft set. *Heliyon*, *9*(9), e19379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19379

11. Afzal, M.N.I. and Kalra, A., 2024. An investigation on immigration inflows, GDP productivity and knowledge production in selected OECD countries: A panel model analysis. *Review of Economics and Political Science*.

12. Wahab, A., Ali, J., Riaz, M. B., Asjad, M. I., & Muhammad, T. (2024). A novel probabilistic q-rung orthopair linguistic neutrosophic information-based method for rating nanoparticles in various sectors. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-55649-7

13. Kalra, A. and Afzal, M.N.I., 2023. Transfer pricing practices in multinational corporations and their effects on developing countries' tax revenue: a systematic literature review. *International Trade, Politics and Development*, *7*(3), pp.172-190.

14. Kalra, A., & Afzal, M. N. I. (2023). Transfer pricing practices in multinational corporations and their effects on developing countries' tax revenue: a systematic

literature review. *International Trade Politics and Development*, *7*(3), 172–190. https://doi.org/10.1108/itpd-04-2023-0011